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#### Abstract

One of the main shortfall of Balanced Incomplete Block Designs is that the design is not available for all parameter sets. Thus, some of the parameter sets that satisfy the necessary conditions for BIBD cannot be constructed as such. This means that the parameter sets for the designs is not possible to be constructed with a single association scheme ( $\lambda$ ). Given that the structural difference that exist between BIBD and PBIBD is that the later do not have a single association scheme but rather m association schemes, the study believed that if the BIBD parameter sets could not be constructed as a single associations scheme then maybe if the design is broken down to more than one association scheme in form of a PBIBD then maybe such design might later be constructed using other methods as PBIBDs. The study aimed at determining whether two association scheme PBIBDs could be derived from necessary properties of BIBDs. The main reason for maiking the transformation is that for BIBD some of the designs that satisfy the necessary properties have been determined not to exist meaning that the designs could not be constructed using a single association scheme $\lambda$. Therefore, the study felt that if the designs could be broken down into 2 association scheme ( $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ ) then such a design might be constructed as a PBIBD. The study related the necessary properties of BIBD and two association scheme PBIBD. Using the properties, the study created eight sets of linear equations and using the Gauss Jordan Elimination method, the study was able to solve for the eight unknown parameters of the PBIBD association scheme. The study was able in the end to convert a BIBD that satisfy necessary properties of BIBD into a two association scheme PBIBD that satisfy all the necessary properties of PBIBD.
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## 1. Introduction

A Balanced Incomplete Block Design (BIBD) is an arrangement of $v$ treatments into $b$ blocks each of size $k$ such that each treatment is replicated $r$ times in the design while each pair of treatments occur together $\lambda$ times in the entire design $[5,7,8,15]$.

In order for a BIBD to exist, it is always required that the parameters of the BIBD must satisfy a number of necessary conditions in order for the design to exist $[1-3,9,10,13,14$, 17]. First, the total number of plots in the design $(b k)$ is always known to be equivalent to the number of treatments $v$ times the number of replication $r$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{bk}=\mathrm{rv} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property can always be derived by considering the total number of blocks $b$ in the design, to be given by;

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =r \frac{\binom{v}{k}}{\binom{v-1}{k-1}} \\
& =r \frac{v!}{k!(v-k)!} \div \frac{(v-1)!}{(k-1)!(v-k)!} \\
& =r \frac{v!}{k!(v-k)!} \times \frac{(k-1)!(v-k)!}{(v-1)!} \\
& =r \frac{v(v-1)!}{k(k-1)!(v-k)!} \times \frac{(k-1)!(v-k)!}{(v-1)!} \\
& =\frac{r v}{k} \\
b k & =r v
\end{aligned}
$$

The second property, tells us that the total number of treatments that occur together with a particular treatment is in
the design $\lambda(v-1)$ must always be equal to the number of replications $r$ times the number of plots per block less 1 plot ( $k-1$ ). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(v-1)=r(k-1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property also can be derived from the total number of block $b$ in the design, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =\lambda \frac{\binom{v}{k}}{(v-2)} \\
& =\lambda \frac{v!}{k!(v-k)!} \div \frac{(v-2)!}{(k-2)!(v-k)!} \\
& =\lambda \frac{v!}{k!(v-k)!} \times \frac{(k-2)!(v-k)!}{(v-2)!} \\
& =\lambda \frac{v(v-1)(v-2)!}{k(k-1)(k-2)!(v-k)!} \times \frac{(k-2)!(v-k)!}{(v-2)!} \\
& =\lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

But it is known that $b=\frac{r v}{k}$. Therefore, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{r v}{k} & =\lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{k(k-1)} \\
r & =\lambda \frac{v(v-1) k}{k(k-1) v} \\
& =\lambda \frac{v-1}{k-1} \\
\lambda(v-1) & =r(k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The properties in Equations 1 and 2, are known as the fundamental or basic necessary properties of a BIBD which must always be satisfied for the design to exist [12, 22, 24]. However, the satisfaction of these properties does not guarantee existence of the design.

A Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Design (PBIBD) is an arrangements of $v$ treatments in $b$ blocks each of size $k$ based on an association scheme $q \geq 2$ such that $v>k$, each treatment occurs $r$ times in the design and if treatments $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are $i^{t h}$ associates, then they appear together $\lambda_{i}$ times in the design $[4,11,19]$.

When a PBIBD is arranged based on an $m$ - association scheme with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$. Then the design must satisfy the following necessary properties [6,20, 21];

$$
\begin{gather*}
r v=b k \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}=v-1  \tag{3}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} n_{i}=r(k-1)  \tag{4}\\
n_{k} p_{i j}^{k}=n_{i} p_{j k}^{i}=n_{j} p_{k i}^{j}  \tag{5}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{j k}^{i}= \begin{cases}n_{j}-1 & i=j \\
n_{j} & i \neq j\end{cases} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a PBIBD, the set of parameters $\left(v, b, k, r, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ are know as parameters of the first kind while the set of parameters $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}\right)$ are known as parameters of the second kind. For a PBIBD to exist, then both parameters of first kind and second kind must exist [6, 19-21].

The study aimed at deriving two association scheme PBIBD using necessary properties of BIBD stated in Equations 1 and 2. The motive behind this study was the believe that if certain BIBDs could not be constructed as BIBDs then the single association scheme could be converted into two association scheme which could be constructed as PBIBD.

## 2. Derivation of Two Association Scheme PBIBD

Based on the properties of PBIBD, in order to construct a PBIBD from necessary conditions of a BIBD and still maintain some characteristics of the original BIBD, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} n_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}}=\lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because according to second property of a BIBD $\lambda(v-1)=r(k-1)$.

Theorem 1. Consider a BIBD with parameters $(v, b, k, r, \lambda)$. Such a BIBD can be transformed into a two association scheme PBIBD with parameters $\left(v, b, k, r, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}, p_{11}^{1}, p_{12}^{1}, p_{21}^{1}, p_{22}^{1}, p_{11}^{2}, p_{12}^{2}, p_{21}^{2}, p_{22}^{2}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=\frac{(v-1)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \\
& n_{2}=\frac{(v-1)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \\
& p_{11}^{1}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{1} n_{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{12}^{1}=p_{21}^{1}=\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{22}^{1}=\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{11}^{2}=n_{1}-n_{2}+1 \\
& p_{12}^{2}=p_{21}^{2}=n_{2}-1 \\
& p_{22}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First we begin by solving for $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$. According to the third property of a PBIBD

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}=r(k-1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, we know that for a $\operatorname{BIBD} \lambda(v-1)=r(k-1)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}=\lambda(v-1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second property of a PBIBD

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{1}+n_{2}=v-1  \tag{10}\\
n_{1}=(v-1)-n_{2} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now substituting for $n_{1}$ in Equation 9 we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}\left((v-1)-n_{2}\right)+n_{2} \lambda_{2} & =\lambda(v-1) \\
(v-1) \lambda_{1}-n_{2} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2} & =\lambda(v-1) \\
n_{2} \lambda_{2}-n_{2} \lambda_{1} & =(v-1) \lambda-(v-1) \lambda_{1} \\
n_{2} & =\frac{(v-1)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now from Equation 10 we substitute the value of $n_{2}$ so that we can get the solution for $n_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} & =(v-1)-\frac{(v-1)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \\
& =(v-1)\left(1-\frac{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right) \\
& =(v-1)\left(\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}-\lambda+\lambda_{1}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right) \\
& =(v-1)\left(\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(v-1)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are predetermined such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda<\lambda_{2}$ and $(v-1) \bmod \left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \equiv 0$.

Next we determine the solutions for $p_{j k}^{i}$. In order to find the solutions for these variables we need to setup 8 systems of equations using properties 4 and 5 of the PBIBD. Using the properties, the following list of equations are generated for $p_{j k}^{i}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{11}^{1}+p_{12}^{1}=n_{1}-1  \tag{12}\\
p_{21}^{1}+p_{22}^{1}=n_{2}  \tag{13}\\
p_{11}^{2}+p_{12}^{2}=n_{1}  \tag{14}\\
p_{21}^{2}+p_{22}^{2}=n_{2}-1  \tag{15}\\
n_{1} p_{21}^{1}-n_{2} p_{11}^{2}=0  \tag{16}\\
n_{1} p_{22}^{1}-n_{2} p_{21}^{2}=0  \tag{17}\\
p_{12}^{1}-p_{21}^{1}=0  \tag{18}\\
p_{12}^{2}-p_{21}^{2}=0 \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

When the above system of equations is written in matrix form the following matrices are obtained

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & n_{1} & 0 & 0 & -n_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & n_{1} & 0 & 0 & -n_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
p_{11}^{1} \\
p_{12}^{1} \\
p_{21}^{1} \\
p_{22}^{1} \\
p_{12}^{2} \\
p_{12}^{2} \\
p_{21}^{2} \\
p_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n_{1}-1 \\
n_{2} \\
n_{1} \\
n_{2}-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Arranging the matrices to allows solving for the unknows using Gauss Jordan Elimination method.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n_{1}-1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & n_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & n_{2}-1 \\
0 & n_{1} & 0 & 0 & -n_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & n_{1} & 0 & 0 & -n_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The set of linear Equations is then solved using the using

Gauss Jordan Elimination method, where the augmented matrix was converted into echelon form. The resulting solution matrix was given as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{1} * n_{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * p_{22}^{2} \\
\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} * n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * p_{22}^{2} \\
\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} * n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * p_{22}^{2} \\
\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * p_{22}^{2} \\
n_{1}-n_{2}+1+p_{22}^{2} \\
n_{2}-1-p_{22}^{2} \\
n_{2}-1-p_{22}^{2} \\
p_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now if we let $p_{22}^{2}=a$ then the solution matrix will be given as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{1} * n_{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * a  \tag{20}\\
\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} * n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * a \\
\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} * n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * a \\
\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} * a \\
n_{1}-n_{2}+1+a \\
n_{2}-1-a \\
n_{2}-1-a \\
a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now based on the values of the final solution matrix in Equation 20. The values of the parameters will be given as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{11}^{1}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{1} n_{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}-\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} a \\
& p_{12}^{1}=p_{21}^{1}=\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} a \\
& p_{22}^{1}=\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} a \\
& p_{11}^{2}=n_{1}-n_{2}+1+a \\
& p_{12}^{2}=p_{21}^{2}=n_{2}-1-a \\
& p_{22}^{2}=a
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to find the solution for $a$, from the properties of PBIBD, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{2} & =p_{21}^{1}+p_{22}^{1} \\
& =\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} a+\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} a \\
& =\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}+a n_{2}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}+a n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& =\frac{n_{1} n_{2}+2 a n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
n_{1} n_{2} & =n_{1} n_{2}+2 a n_{2} \\
2 a n_{2} & =0 \\
a & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, given that $a=0$, then the values of the
parameters for resulting PBIBD will be given as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{11}^{1}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}+n_{2}^{2}-n_{1} n_{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{12}^{1}=p_{21}^{1}=\frac{-n_{2}^{2}+n_{1} n_{2}+n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{22}^{1}=\frac{n_{2}^{2}-n_{2}}{n_{1}} \\
& p_{11}^{2}=n_{1}-n_{2}+1 \\
& p_{12}^{2}=p_{21}^{2}=n_{2}-1 \\
& p_{22}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

When selecting $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ we must always ensure that all the resulting parameters of second kind $p_{j k}^{i}<v$.

## End of Proof

Example 1
Consider a symmetric BIBD with parameters ( $v=b=$ $22, r=k=7, \lambda=2$ ). If we select wish convert the BIBD into PBIBD with two association scheme such that $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=4$. Then, this will result int a PBIBD with the following parameters.

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=\frac{21(4-2)}{4-1}=14 \\
n_{2}=\frac{21(2-1)}{4-1}=7 \\
p_{11}^{1}=\frac{14^{2}-14+7^{2}-(14 \times 7)-7}{14}=9 \\
p_{12}^{1}=p_{21}^{1}=\frac{-7^{2}+(14 \times 7)+7}{14}=4 \\
p_{22}^{1}=\frac{7^{2}-7}{14}=3
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{11}^{2}=14-7+1=8 \\
p_{12}^{2}=p_{21}^{2}=7-1=6 \\
p_{22}^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 2
Consider a non-symmetric BIBD with parameters ( $v=$ $16, b=24, r=9, k=6, \lambda=3$ ). If we select wish convert the BIBD into PBIBD with two association scheme such that $\lambda_{1}=2$ and $\lambda_{2}=5$. Then, this will result into a PBIBD with the following parameters.

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=\frac{15(5-3)}{5-2}=10 \\
n_{2}=\frac{15(3-2)}{5-2}=5 \\
p_{11}^{1}=\frac{10^{2}-10+5^{2}-(10 \times 5)-5}{10}=6 \\
p_{12}^{1}=p_{21}^{1}=\frac{-5^{2}+(10 \times 5)+5}{10}=3 \\
p_{22}^{1}=\frac{5^{2}-5}{10}=2 \\
p_{11}^{2}=10-5+1=6 \\
p_{12}^{2}=p_{21}^{2}=5-1=4 \\
p_{22}^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The results in table 1 shows a list of two association scheme PBIBDs that could be derived from BIBDs that satisfy necessary properties together with the efficiency of the resulting PBIBDs.

Table 1. Resulting PBIBDs Generated from Necessary Properties of BIBDs.

| BIBD | PBIBD | Efficiency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{v}=15, \mathrm{~b}=21, \mathrm{r}=7, \mathrm{k}=5 \lambda=2$ | $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=3, n_{1}=7, n_{2}=7, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}5 & 1 \\ 1 & 6\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 6 \\ 6 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.8228571 |
| $\mathrm{v}=22, \mathrm{~b}=22, \mathrm{r}=7, \mathrm{k}=7 \lambda=2$ | $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=4, n_{1}=14, n_{2}=7, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}9 & 4 \\ 4 & 4\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ 6 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.8803521 |
| $\mathrm{v}=21, \mathrm{~b}=28, \mathrm{r}=8, \mathrm{k}=6 \lambda=2$ | $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=3, n_{1}=10, n_{2}=10, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}8 & 1 \\ 1 & 9\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 9 \\ 9 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.8674569 |
| $\mathrm{v}=29, \mathrm{~b}=29, \mathrm{r}=8, \mathrm{k}=8 \lambda=2$ | $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=3, n_{1}=14, n_{2}=14, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}12 & 1 \\ 1 & 13\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 13 \\ 13 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.9007241 |
| $\mathrm{v}=16, \mathrm{~b}=24, \mathrm{r}=9, \mathrm{k}=6, \lambda=3$ | $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=7, n_{1}=10, n_{2}=5, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}6 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \\ \mathrm{a}\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}6 & 4 \\ 4 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.8465608 |
| $\mathrm{v}=31, \mathrm{~b}=31, \mathrm{r}=10, \mathrm{k}=10 \lambda=3$ | $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=4, n_{1}=15, n_{2}=15, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}13 & 1 \\ 1 & 14\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 14 \\ 14 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.927395 |
| $\mathrm{v}=34, \mathrm{~b}=34, \mathrm{r}=12, \mathrm{k}=12 \lambda=4$ | $\lambda_{1}=3, \lambda_{2}=6, n_{1}=22, n_{2}=11, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}15 & 6 \\ 6 & 5\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}12 & 10 \\ 10 & 0\end{array}\right]$ |  |
| $\mathrm{v}=46, \mathrm{~b}=69, \mathrm{r}=9, \mathrm{k}=6 \lambda=1$ | $\lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=3, n_{1}=30, n_{2}=15, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}21 & 8 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}16 & 14 \\ 14 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.9412752 |
| $\mathrm{v}=43, \mathrm{~b}=43, \mathrm{r}=15, \mathrm{k}=15 \lambda=5$ | $\lambda_{1}=4, \lambda_{2}=6, n_{1}=21, n_{2}=21, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}19 & 1 \\ 1 & 20\end{array}\right], P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 20 \\ 20 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | 0.825641 |

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, the study was able to illustrate that a two association scheme PBIBD could be derived from the necessary properties of BIBD. This means that the single association scheme that exist in BIBD could be broken down into a two association scheme making it possible to construct the BIBDs as PBIBDs. However, the study was not able to
construct the derived PBIBD or show that such PBIBDs could not be constructed in their derived forms.

## 4. Recommendation

The study recommends that further research be carried out on how the resulting PBIBDs could be constructed or not be constructed. The ability of the design to be constructed will be a great breakthrough as it will give an alternative means
dealing with non-existing BIBDs. The proof that the designs does not exist will also be a great break through as it will aid in generalizing the non-existence property for PBIBDs.

## Appendix

R Code for Transforming BIBD into PBIBD
pbibdt2 $<$ - function(v, b, r, k,lambda) $\{$
for(lambda1 in seq(0,lambda-1,1)) \{
for(lambda2 in seq(lambda+1,r-1,1)) \{
$\operatorname{if}((((\mathrm{v}-1)$ * (lambda2 - lambda)) \%\% (lambda2 -
lambda1)==0) \&\&
$(((\mathrm{v}-1) *(\mathrm{lambda}-\mathrm{lambda} 1)) \%$ (lambda2 -
lambda1) $)==0)\{$
\# Compute n 1 and n 2
$\mathrm{n} 1<-((\mathrm{v}-1) *($ lambda2 - lambda) $) /($ lambda2 -
lambda1)
$\mathrm{n} 2<-((\mathrm{v}-1) *($ lambda - lambda1) $) /($ lambda2 -
lambda1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{if}\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{n} 1^{\wedge} 2\right)-\mathrm{n} 1+\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)-(\mathrm{n} 1 * \mathrm{n} 2)-\mathrm{n} 2\right) \% \% \mathrm{n} 1==0\right)\{ \\
& \mathrm{p} 111<-\left(\left(\mathrm{n} 1^{\wedge} 2\right)-\mathrm{n} 1+\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)-(\mathrm{n} 1 * \mathrm{n} 2)-\mathrm{n} 2\right) / \mathrm{n} 1 \\
& \mathrm{if}\left(\left(-\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)+(\mathrm{n} 1 * \mathrm{n} 2)+\mathrm{n} 2\right) \% \% \mathrm{n} 1==0\right)\{ \\
& \mathrm{p} 112<-\left(-\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)+(\mathrm{n} 1 * \mathrm{n} 2)+\mathrm{n} 2\right) / \mathrm{n} 1 \\
& \mathrm{p} 121<-\left(-\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)+(\mathrm{n} 1 * \mathrm{n} 2)+\mathrm{n} 2\right) / \mathrm{n} 1 \\
& \mathrm{if}\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)-\mathrm{n} 2\right) \% \% \mathrm{n} 1=-0\right)\{ \\
& \mathrm{p} 122<-\left(\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} 2\right)-\mathrm{n} 2\right) / \mathrm{n} 1 \\
& \mathrm{p} 211<-\mathrm{n} 1-\mathrm{n} 2+1 \\
& \mathrm{p} 212<-\mathrm{n} 2-1 \\
& \mathrm{p} 221<-\mathrm{n} 2-1 \\
& \mathrm{p} 222<-0
\end{aligned}
$$

## \# Create matrices P1 and P2

P1 <- matrix (c(p111, p112, p121, p122), nrow $=2$, ncol $=2$, byrow $=$ TRUE $)$
$\mathrm{P} 2<-\operatorname{matrix}(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{p} 211, \mathrm{p} 212, \mathrm{p} 221, \mathrm{p} 222)$,
nrow $=2$, ncol $=2$, byrow $=$ TRUE $)$
$\operatorname{if}(\mathrm{p} 111>=0$ \&\& $\mathrm{p} 112>=0$ \& \& $\mathrm{p} 122>=0$ \&\& p211>=0
$\& \& \mathrm{p} 212>=0$ \& $\& \mathrm{p} 222>=0)\{$
\# Print results

r, " $\backslash \mathrm{tk}=$ ", k)
cat("\nlambda1 = ", lambda1, "\tlambda2 = ",
lambda2, "\tlambda = ", lambda)
$\operatorname{cat}(" \backslash n n 1=", n 1, " \backslash \operatorname{tn} 2=", n 2)$
$\operatorname{cat}(" \backslash n P 1=\backslash n ")$
print(P1)
$\operatorname{cat}($ " $\mathrm{P} 2=\ln ")$
print(P2)
library(PBIBD)
comment $1=\operatorname{verify}(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2)$,
$\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{c}($ lambda1, lambda2), $\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{list}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2))$
print(comment1)
design1 $=\operatorname{apbibd}(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2)$, $\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{c}($ lambda1,lambda2), $\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{list}(\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2)$ ) cat(" $\backslash n$ The Efficiency of the Design is $\backslash n "$ )
print(design1\$E)
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
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