Serratia marcescens is injurious to intestinal epithelial cells

John B Ochieng, Nadia Boisen, Brianna Lindsay, Araceli Santiago, Collins Ouma, Maurice Ombok, Barry Fields, O Colin Stine & James P Nataro

Serratia marcescens is injurious to intestinal epithelial cells

John B Ochieng[1,3,5], Nadia Boisen[1], Brianna Lindsay[2], Araceli Santiago[1], Collins Ouma[5], Maurice Ombok[3], Barry Fields[4], O Colin Stine[2,†], and James P Nataro[1,†,*]

[1]Department of Pediatrics; University of Virginia School of Medicine; Charlottesville, VA USA; [2]Department of Epidemiology and Public Health; University of Maryland School of Medicine; Baltimore, MD USA; [3]Kenya Medical Research Institute/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KEMRI/CDC); Kisumu, Kenya; [4]Global Disease Detection Division; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Nairobi, Kenya; [5]Department of Biomedical Science and Technology; Maseno University; Maseno, Kenya

[†]Co-senior authors

Diarrhea causes substantial morbidity and mortality in children in low-income countries. Although numerous pathogens cause diarrhea, the etiology of many episodes remains unknown. *Serratia marcescens* is incriminated in hospital-associated infections, and HIV/AIDS associated diarrhea. We have recently found that *Serratia* spp. may be found more commonly in the stools of patients with diarrhea than in asymptomatic control children. We therefore investigated the possible enteric pathogenicity of *S. marcescens in vitro* employing a polarized human colonic epithelial cell (T84) monolayer. Infected monolayers were assayed for bacterial invasion, transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), cytotoxicity, interleukin-8 (IL-8) release and morphological changes by scanning electron microscopy. We observed significantly greater epithelial cell invasion by *S. marcescens* compared to *Escherichia coli* strain HS ($p = 0.0038$ respectively). Cell invasion was accompanied by reduction in TEER and secretion of IL-8. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) extracellular concentration rapidly increased within a few hours of exposure of the monolayer to *S. marcescens*. Scanning electron microscopy of *S. marcescens*-infected monolayers demonstrated destruction of microvilli and vacuolization. Our results suggest that *S. marcescens* interacts with intestinal epithelial cells in culture and induces dramatic alterations similar to those produced by known enteric pathogens.

Introduction

Diarrhea causes substantial morbidity and mortality in children in low-income countries.[1,2] Although numerous pathogens can cause diarrhea, many episodes do not have a known etiology. Epidemiological research in enteric diseases have shown that a majority of cases of moderate to severe diarrhea among children under the age of 5 y and among hospitalized patient could not be attributed to a specific known pathogen.[1,3] Therefore, identification of additional potential enteric pathogens is an important global health priority. In addition, many cases of necrotizing enterocolitis and antibiotic associated diarrhea are without identifiable enteric pathogens. Identification of potentially pathogenic species within the intestinal microbiota is therefore important.

Serratia marcescens is a common enteric bacterium generally thought not to be pathogenic in the gastrointestinal tract.[4] However, the organism is capable of causing nosocomial infections in other body systems, most notably respiratory and urinary tract infection, meningitis, bacteremia and different types of wound infection.[5-7] *Serratia* species cause outbreaks in neonatal intensive care units,[8,9] including necrotizing enterocolitis, and have been associated with significant morbidity and mortality in children.[10] However, recent studies showed that 65% of all *Serratia* infections were community-based, with *S. marcescens* being the most commonly isolated species, accounting for 92% of all isolated *Serratia*.[11]

Taxonomically, the genus *Serratia* is classified as a member of the family *Enterobacteriaceace*, and currently consists of 14 recognized species with 2 identified subspecies.[12] *S. marcescens* is the most commonly isolated *Serratia* species in human infections.[7] It is a widely distributed saprophytic bacterium and causes disease in plants and in a wide range of both invertebrate and vertebrate hosts.[7,12] Based on biochemical characteristics, 6 biogroups consisting of 19 biotypes of *S. marcescens* namely A1 (A1a, A1b); A2/6 (A2a, A2b, A6a, A6b); A3 (A3a, A3b, A3c, A3d); A4 (A4a, A4b); A5/8 (A5, A8a, A8b, A8c); and TCT (TT, TC) have been recognized.[13,14] The biogroups consist of red pigmented (A1 and A1/6) and nonpigmented (A3, A4, A5/8 and TCT) serotypes. Infection has been acquired through ingestion of contaminated food, contaminated hospital equipment, or the hands of medical

Figure 1. Adhesion and invasion of T84 cell monolayers. T84 cells were seeded in collagen coated 3.0 μm transwell plates and maintained in DMEM-F12 cell culture medium for 5 – 10 d The cells were infected with invasive strain of *S. flexneri*, Commensal *E. coli* HS, *S. marcescens*, or *K. oxytoca* for 3 hr. Both adhesion (**A**) and invasion (**B**) were determined by plating and quantifying CFU as described under materials and methods. The results are compared between the strains. Error bars represent the standard deviation calculated from the means of colony counts estimated from 3 independent experiments done in triplicate. Adhesion to T84 cells (**A**) was not significantly different when compared among the strains. The recovery of *S. marcescens* from the invasion assay (**B**) was significantly higher compared to *S. flexneri* or *E. coli* HS (*, $P < 0.05$ by ANOVA with post hoc correction).

staff.[15] *S. marcescens* can infect numerous sites including urinary,[16] respiratory,[17] epithelia, muscle and subcutaneous tissues.[18] Although non-pigmented biogroups are the most common cause of nosocomial infections, the red pigmented biogroups also cause significant common source outbreaks and cross-infections.[15]

Like other enteric bacterial pathogens, *S. marcescens* is capable of producing well known virulence factors such as fimbriae, the RssAB-FlhDC-ShlBA pathway, quorum sensing systems and various secreted enzymes.[12,19] The organism has been associated with a potent cytotoxin, ShlA, which in concert with the ShlB protein causes contact-dependent cytotoxicity in eukaryotic cells.[20] An extracellular hemolysin, PhlA, with phospholipase activity has also been characterized.[21] The PhlA acts upon phospholipids and produces lysophospholipid, which lyses human, horse and sheep red blood cells and HeLa cells.[21] A type VI secretion system has also been described in *Serratia* species,[22] although its contribution to virulence is unknown.

The gastrointestinal epithelium deploys multiple innate defense mechanisms against microbial intruders,[23] including epithelial integrity and innate immune responses. In addition, human colonic epithelial cells *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* can express and release specific cytokines such as IL-8, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and TNFα in response to infection with invasive strains of bacteria.[24] IL-8 has been shown to be a key chemokine in inflammation and bacterial translocation.[25] Although pathogens frequently induce or evade these defenses, the effects of commensal bacteria are largely unknown.

In this work, we evaluated *S. marcescens* in a number of *in-vitro* assays commonly associated with the behavior of proven enteric pathogens to ascertain pathogenic potential of the bacteria. The effects of *S. marcescens* infection of polarized T84 monolayers was compared with that of known invasive wild type *Shigella flexneri* 2a, non-pathogenic *Escherichia coli* HS and a laboratory strain of *Klebsiella oxytoca*. Our results demonstrate that *S. marcescens* commonly considered harmless in the gastrointestinal tract, elicits dramatic changes including inflammation, cytotoxicity, adherence, and invasion.

Results

Adhesion and internalization of *S. marcescens* in T84 cells

To test whether *S. marcescens* possesses the ability to adhere to or invade intestinal epithelial cells, we performed an adhesion assay and gentamicin protection assay as previously described[26] with *S. flexneri*, *E. coli* HS, *S. marcescens* (ATCC® 274™) and *K. oxytoca*. All the bacterial strains tested yielded some recovery of adherent bacteria from the T84 monolayer. *S. marcescens* exhibited higher recovery than *S. flexneri* or *E. coli* HS (**Fig. 1A**), however, the difference among the strains in adherence to T84 cells was not statistically significant (p = 0.096 and p = 0.726, respectively). We observed recovery of *S. marcescens* from intestinal epithelial cells after treatment with gentamicin (**Fig. 1B**); recovery was significantly higher compared to that observed for *S. flexneri* or *E. coli* HS ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.005$, respectively). Negligible numbers of *K. oxytoca* were recovered in this assay.

S. marcescens disrupts transepithelial electric resistance (TEER) of a T84 cell monolayer

The transepithelial electric resistance (TEER) of epithelial cell monolayers has been shown to diminish upon infection with enteric pathogens.[27] We assessed the TEER of polarized T84 monolayers before and after addition of *Serratia*; experiments comprised 3 hours of infection followed by 1 hr post-infection recovery period. The mean percent change in TEER in T84 monolayers infected with *S. marcescens* dropped by 63% (**Fig. 2A**) from the initial value ($P < 0.0001$), and was significantly lower than monolayers infected with *E. coli* HS or *K. oxytoca,* or compared with uninfected monolayers ($P < 0.0001$). To characterize the effects of different biotypes of *S. marcescens* on intestinal barrier function, we measured the TEER of polarized T84 intestinal epithelial cell monolayers infected with different strains of *S. marcescens* compared with the positive control, enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) strain wt 042.[28,29] We observed

Figure 2. A. Comparison of the ability of *S. marcescens* and other enteric bacteria to reduce TEER in polarized T84 cell monolayers. T84 cells were seeded in collagen coated 3.0 μm transwell plates and maintained in DMEM-F12 cell culture medium for 5 – 10 d The cells were infected with invasive *S. flexneri*, commensal *E. coli* HS, *S. marcescens*, or EAEC strain 042 for 3 hour, washed and followed for an additional 18 hr as described in materials and methods. TEER was measured before infection and after infection using Evom-2 Vohmmeter. Uninfected cells were used as negative control. Data are means percent change ± standard deviation of the means from triplicate readings of 3 independent experiments. *S. marcescens* and *S. flexneri* were significantly different at $P < 0$ .0001 from negative controls. **2B**: Comparison of the ability of different strains *S. marcescens* to reduce TEER in polarized T84 cell monolayers. T84 cells were seeded in collagen coated 3.0 μm transwell plates and infected as described under **Figure 2A** with different strains including *E. coli* 042. Data are means percent change ± standard deviation of the means from triplicate readings of 3 independent experiments. *** All *S. marcescens* were significantly different at $P < 0$ .0001 from uninfected cells.

marked reduction in TEER by all the *Serratia* strains tested (**Fig. 2B**). The change was statistically significant compared with uninfected cells ($P < 0$ .0001).

S. marcescens infection induces elevated IL-8 secretion from T84 cells

Intestinal epithelial cells are known to express pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-8, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and TNFα upon interaction with many enteric pathogens.[24] In addition IL-8 has been described as a major cytokine produced by intestinal epithelial cells when invaded by bacteria.[25] We therefore examined IL-8 secretion by epithelial cells following *S. marcescens* exposure, compared with 3 other intestinal bacteria. Basolateral supernatants of T84 cell monolayers were collected after 3 h of exposure to each bacterial species and examined for presence of IL-8. There was significantly higher secretion of IL-8 (mean 426.6 pg/ml) in T84 intestinal epithelial monolayers

infected with *S. marcescens* (**Fig. 3A**) compared to each of the 3 other enteric bacteria tested and to the uninfected cell monolayers ($P < 0$ .0001). We examined IL-8 secretion by epithelial cells following exposure to various strains of *S. marcescens*, and compared with *E. coli* HS and uninfected cells (**Fig. 3B**). All strains induced release of IL-8 greater than negative controls.

S. marcescens induces release of LDH

Polarized T84 cell monolayers were infected with *S. marcescens*, *S. flexneri*, *E. coli* HS or *K. oxytoca* individually; basolateral supernatants were collected after 3 and 6 hrs post-infection, and LDH was measured by immunoassay (**Fig. 4**). We found that LDH release from cells infected with *S. marcescens* was more than 3 times greater than that observed for each of the other comparisons ($P < 0$ .0001). We also found that supernatants from cells infected with *S. marcescens* after 6 hrs of infection appeared to be 10-fold greater than the concentrations measured at 3 hrs ($P < 0$ .001), and more than 60 times greater than that induced by the other 3 enteric bacteria tested ($P < 0$ .0001).

**Figure 3. A.**IL-8 release by T84 cells upon infection with intestinal bacteria. T84 cells were infected with invasive *S. flexneri*, Commensal *E. coli* HS, *S. marcescens*, or *K. oxytoca* for 3 hour and basolateral supernatants collected for assay of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-8 by ELISA as described under materials and methods. Uninfected cells were used as negative control. Data are means ± standard deviation of the means from triplicate readings of 3 independent experiments. ***S. marcescens* was significantly different at $P < 0$ .0001 from each of the other bacteria tested. **3B**: IL-8 release by T84 cells upon infection with different strains of *S. marcescens*. T84 cells were infected with different strains of *S. marcescens* and IL-8 released from the cells determined as described under **Figure 3A**. Data are means ± standard deviation of the means from triplicate readings of 3 independent experiments. ***, ($P < 0$ .0001) and **, ($P < 0$ .001).

Figure 4. Cytotoxicity as determined by LDH release over time in T84 cells infected with *S. marcescens* and other enteric bacteria. T84 cells were infected with invasive strain of *S. flexneri*, Commensal *E. coli* HS, *S. marcescens*, or *K. oxytoca* for 3 hr (gray bars) and 6 hrs (black bars). There-after, basolateral supernatants were collected at each time point for LDH testing by ELISA as described under materials and methods. LDH released from cells infected with *S. marcescens* was more than 3 times greater than that observed for each of the other comparisons (***, $P < 0.0001$) and tenfold greater than the concentration measured at 3 hrs (**, $P < 0.001$). Data are means ± standard deviation of the means from triplicate readings of 3 independent experiments.

Effect of *S. marcescens* infection on morphology of T84 cells

To investigate the effect of *S. marcescens* infection on intestinal epithelial cell morphology, we infected non-polarized T84 cell monolayers with bacteria at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for 3 hrs and examined the monolayers under scanning electron microscopy. A distinctive change in cellular morphology was observed in cells infected with *S. marcescens* compared with cells infected with *S. flexneri* or *E. coli* HS. Cell monolayers infected with *S. marcescens* manifested close adherence of bacteria and loss of microvilli. We observed a distinct "halo" phenomenon around adhering

bacteria (white arrow in **Fig. 5C**), which was not observed with either of the other bacteria.

Discussion

Using human colon-derived polarized epithelial (T84) cells we have demonstrated that *S. marcescens* has the potential for adhesion, invasion, cytotoxicity, perturbation of intestinal barrier function, cytokine release, and alteration of cellular morphology. Previous studies have shown that *S. marcescens* is capable of adhering to bladder epithelial cells, and have identified a secreted pore-forming cytolysin ShlA as an important factor mediating internalization of *S marcescens* and lysis of epithelial cells[32,33]; the ability of *S marcescens* to adhere to and invade intestinal epithelial cells has not been reported to our knowledge. Tight adhesion increases the proximity of the bacteria with the cell, possibly bringing the cell bound cytotoxin in close contact with the cell, thereby enhancing host-cell invasion. This is supported by published results demonstrating that adherent but non-cytolytic mutant strain of *S. marcescens* showed no cell invasion.[33] In this study, *S. marcescens* exhibited more abundant adherence and significantly greater invasiveness for the epithelial cells than non-pathogenic *E. coli* HS controls.

The integrity of the epithelial monolayer is sustained by tight cell-cell junctions, and many bacterial pathogens target tight junctions by perturbing this structure.[34] For some pathogens, previous studies have demonstrated that reduction in TEER is correlated with significant decrease in tight junction protein expression and increased permeability, thus allowing translocation of virus and bacteria across the mucosa.[35] Similarly, apical application of bacteria has been shown to induce opening of the paracellular pathway and transmigration of polymorphonuclear leucocytes, which in turn facilitate pathogen invasion.[36] We report that infection with *S. marcescens* drastically decreased TEER of T84 cell monolayers, although the mechanism of this effect is unknown.

Intestinal epithelial cells act as sentinels in intestinal infection,[38] mounting early innate immune responses against foreign


Figure 5. Effect of *S. marcescens* on T84 cell monolayer after 3 hours of infection. T84 cells were infected with bacteria for 3 hour and fixed with paraformaldehyde solution overnight at 4°C as described under materials and methods. The cells were prepared and examined using JSM-6400 scanning microscope. (**A**) T84 monolayer infected with *E. coli* HS; (**B**) monolayer infected with *S. flexneri* strain 2457T; (**C**) monolayer infected with *S. marcescens*. White arrow indicates typical "halo" surrounding *S. serratia* bacteria.

substances via pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Recent studies suggest that IL-8 produced by the intestinal epithelial cells plays a central role in the initial control of infection by recruiting polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and transmigration of these cells to the epithelial lining. The polymorphonuclear leukocytes contribute to bacterial killing, often at the expense of tissue destruction.[25] Thus IL-8 appears to be an essential chemokine in *Shigella* transepithelial translocation. We therefore investigated the ability of *S. marcescens* to induce IL-8 responses in intestinal epithelial cell monolayers. Whereas all the enteric bacteria tested induced some secretion of IL-8 from T84 cells (at levels less than 100 pg/ml), *S. marcescens* induced more than 3 times the levels of IL-8 secretion. *S. marcescens* strains A4a, A8a, A1a and A2a induced more pronounced IL-8 secretion suggesting high potential of causing inflammation in the intestinal tract compared to *S. marcescens* strains A3a and *E. coli* HS. Thus, our results are in concurrence with previous epidemiologic findings which attributed most frequently occurring nosocomial *S. marcescens* infection to biogroups A4, A5/8, and A2/6,[7,13] with A2b isolated from infants (mostly from feces) in a neonatal ward.[7]

S. marcescens has been reported to have a cytotoxin/cytolysin and a type VI secretion system that exports a non-diffusable cytolysin.[32] These effects have not been demonstrated on intestinal cells, which represent the typical habitat of *Serratia* in *Homo sapiens*. *S. marcescens* produced a rapid rise in LDH levels from the infected cells within 3 hr of infection, and levels were elevated tenfold at 6 hr of infection, suggesting rapid destruction of the epithelial cells. The cytotoxic activity may be attributable to the secreted hemolysin/cytotoxin ShlA previously reported.[32] The cytolytic effect may contribute to the observed invasiveness and pro-inflammatory ability in our system. Of note, we also observed detachment of epithelial cell monolayers and rounding of cells (data not shown). Observations of infected monolayer by using SEM confirmed these data. The cytolytic effect apparently included disruption of the microvilli, shedding of the microvilli and vacuole formation on the epithelial cells. This is consistent with previous studies which demonstrated pore-formation, extended vacuolation and cell lysis induced by secreted cytolysin ShlA on bladder epithelial cells.[33] A similar cytolysin excreted by *Haemophilus ducreyi* was shown to enhance invasion of human epithelial cells and contributes to evasion of immune responses.[38] Thus, the disruption of the microvillus layer, vacuolation and possibly lysis of the host-cell elicited by *S. marcescens* in this study

may enable the bacteria to penetrate the tissue layer. Similarly, previous studies demonstrated that clinical isolates of *K. oxytoca* induce antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis and a high proportion of stool isolates tested were cytotoxin positive.[39,40] The cytotoxin caused rounding, fragmentation and detachment of HEp-2 cells from the substratum which may precede cell death. In the current study, the *K. oxytoca* strain used exhibited no cytotoxicity suggesting that the cytotoxin production might be strain specific.

In conclusion, the current study provides evidence that *S. marcescens* is a potential enteric pathogen. Epidemiologic studies should consider possible association between this organism and diarrhea on non-diarrheal pathogenic states, and in other pathogenic states such as necrotizing enterocolitis.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are summarized in **Table 1**. Bacteria were routinely cultured on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar (American Bioanalytical) except *S. flexneri* which was grown on LB agar with Congo red; all were incubated at 37°C aerobically for 18–24 hrs. A single colony of the bacteria was picked and inoculated in 10 ml of LB broth (American Bioanalytical) and incubated as above in a shaking incubator. Ten microliters of a stationary phase culture was used to inoculate 10 ml of LB broth, and the bacteria grown in a shaking incubator for approximately 3 hours at 37°C aerobically to mid-exponential phase and used to infect the T84 cells.

Growth and maintenance of cell culture

Human colonic epithelial (T84) cells (ATCC CCL⁻248) were seeded into 75 cm^2 tissue culture flasks and routinely maintained as described previously[41] with slight modification. Briefly, the T84 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium-F-12 medium (DMEM/F12) (1:1 mix; Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% L-Glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 mg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C in 5% CO_2 atmosphere. Fresh medium was replenished every 2 d When the monolayer reached 80–90% confluent, the cells were detached from the bottom of the flask using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA

Table 1. Bacterial strains used in the study

Strain	Species	Serotype	Biotype	Red pigment	References
ATCC 274	*Serratia marcescens*	O:6	A2a	yes	American Type Culture Collection, [45,46]
107234	*Serratia marcescens*	O5:H1	A4a	yes	Pasteur Institute
107235	*Serratia marcescens*	O6:H3	A2a	no	Pasteur Institute
107238	*Serratia marcescens*	O9:H11	A3a	no	Pasteur Institute
107253	*Serratia marcescens*	O25:H12	A8a	no	Pasteur Institute
105187	*Serratia marcescens*	O28:H2	A1a	yes	Pasteur Institute
2457T	*Shigella flexneri*	2a	NA	NA	[44]
?	*Klesiella oxytoca*	?	NA	NA	
042	*Escherichia coli*	O44:H18	NA	NA	[47]
HS	*Escherichia coli*	O9	NA	NA	[48]

(GIBCO, Life Technologies) and split 1:10 into new flasks. The T84 cells between passages 4 and 15 were seeded at a density of 3 × 10^5 cells/mL onto collagen-coated, 12-mm polycarbonate Costar tissue culture Transwell permeable support inserts filters with 3.0 μm pore size (Corning Inc.) and grown for 5 to 10 days, during which time fresh medium in both chambers was replenished as indicated above.

Measurement of TEER

The TEER was used to monitor the integrity of the epithelial monolayer as previously described[30,42] using an epithelial ohmeter resistance reader (World Precision Instruments). Monolayers were considered polarized and used for experiment when resistance was equal to or greater than 1,300 Ω/cm^2. TEER was also measured before infection (time zero) and 1 hr after recovery (time t) following the antibiotic protection assay (see below for full description). TEER was then expressed as the ratio of TEER at time t in relation to the initial value (time zero) for each experiment. Statistical analysis was performed to compare the means percent change in experimental and medium control groups.

Bacterial adhesion and invasion assays

Infection of T84 cells was performed as previously described[26] with modification. One day before infection, polarized T84 cells were incubated with DMEM/F12 without antibiotic. Overnight LB broth cultures of bacteria were standardized in DMEM/F12 without antibiotic to an optical density of 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of 0.60 ± 0.02, which is equal to approximately 1 × 10^9 CFU/mL. Bacterial samples at multiplicity of infection of 100 in 200 μL of the medium without antibiotic were administered on the apical surface of each T84 cell monolayers grown on transwell inserts. Infection experiments in duplicate were incubated for 3 hrs at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$, at the end of which time the bacteria were aspirated. Supernatants were collected from the basolateral side of the wells and stored at 4°C and −20°C for LDH cytotoxicity and IL-8 cytokines assays, respectively. Thereafter, the cells were washed 3 times with PBS. One set of the cells was lysed with 500 μL of 1% triton X-100 and the lysates diluted 1:1000 in sterile PBS and plated on LB agar medium for CFU count to determine the bacterial association with the cells.[26,42] For gentamicin protection assays,[31] fresh DMEM-F-12 with 100 μg/ml gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich) or 500 μg/ml amikacin (Sigma-Aldrich), chosen according to the susceptibility of the test bacteria, was added to the apical and basolateral chambers of the second set of cells and incubated as above for 1 hr to kill extracellular bacteria. After extensive washing (3 times) to remove the antibiotic, the intracellular bacteria were released by lysis with 1% Triton X-100 as described above. The cell lysates were diluted 1:10 in sterile PBS and plated on LB agar medium for CFU count to determine invasion.[43] Data were expressed as CFU/well for each monolayer. Adhesion was defined as the difference between the number of associating bacterial CFU and the number of internalized bacterial CFU as previously described,[41] while the percent invasion was defined as the number of internalized bacterial CFU (invasion) divided by the associating bacterial CFU (bacterial association) expressed as percentage. The results

for each experiment were presented as means of an assay performed in triplicate and independently repeated 3 times.

Interleukin-8 enzyme-linked immonosorbent assay

Supernatants from each infection were collected and IL-8 protein levels were determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using human IL-8 ELISA kit (Invitogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Supernatants from uninfected cells were used as negative controls and each sample assessed in duplicate.

Lactate dehydrogenase assay

The T84 monolayers were infected for various time periods, and medium was aspirated. The medium was then centrifuged to remove viable bacteria. Cytotoxicity was tested using lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) kit (BioVision) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Scanning electron microscopy

Bacterial strains at multiplicity of infection of 100 were cultured for 3 hrs with T84 cell monolayers grown on 12-mm glass coverslips. Culture medium was removed, and cells fixed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 2.5% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde, rinsed, postfixed in 1% osmium in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, and then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol mixtures and treated with hexamethyldisilane. After drying, cover slips were coated with gold and examined using a JEOL JSM-6400 scanning electron microscope (JOEL Ltd).

Data analysis

Results were expressed as means and standard deviation (±SD) of individual experiments performed in triplicate (n) times. Comparisons between mean values were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS computer software (Version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc.), and P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

References

1. Kotloff KL, Nataro JP, Blackwelder WC, Nasrin D, Farag TH, Panchalingam S, Wu Y, Sow SO, Sur D, Breiman RF, et al. Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. Lancet 2013; 382:209-22; PMID:23680352; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60844-2

2. Kotloff KL, Winickoff JP, Ivanoff B, Clemens JD, Swerdlow DL, Sansonetti PJ, Adak GK, Levine MM. Global burden of Shigella infections: implications for vaccine development and implementation of control strategies. Bull World Health Organ 1999; 77:651-66; PMID:10516787

3. Nair GB, Ramamurthy T, Bhattacharya MK, Krishnan T, Ganguly S, Saha DR, Rajendran K, Manna B, Ghosh M, Okamoto K, et al. Emerging trends in the etiology of enteric pathogens as evidenced from an active surveillance of hospitalized diarrhoeal patients in Kolkata, India. Gut Pathog 2010; 2:4; PMID:20525383; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1757-4749-2-4

4. Almuneef MA, Baltimore RS, Farrel PA, Reagan-Cirincione P, Dembry LM. Molecular typing demonstrating transmission of gram-negative rods in a neonatal intensive care unit in the absence of a recognized epidemic. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32:220-7; PMID:11170911; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/318477

5. Buffet-Bataillon S, Rabier V, Betremieux P, Beuchee A, Bauer M, Pladys P, Le Gall E, Cormier M, Jolivet-Gougeon A. Outbreak of Serratia marcescens in a neonatal intensive care unit: contaminated unmedicated liquid soap and risk factors. J Hosp Infect 2009; 72:17-22; PMID:19246120; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2009.01.010

6. Friedman ND, Kotsanas D, Brett J, Billah B, Korman TM. Investigation of an outbreak of Serratia marcescens in a neonatal unit via a case-control study and molecular typing. Am J Infect Control 2008; 36:22-8; PMID:18241732; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.12.012

7. Grimont F. and Grimont PAD. The Genus Serratia. In The Prokaryotes. Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E, eds. Volume 6. 2006: pp. 219-244. ISBN: 978-0-387-25496-8.

8. Adamson V, Mitt P, Pisarev H, Metsvaht T, Telling K, Naaber P, Maimets M. Prolonged outbreak of Serratia marcescens in Tartu University Hospital: a case-control study. BMC Infect Dis 2012; 12:S; PMID:NOT_-FOUND; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-12-281

9. Fleisch F, Zimmermann-Baer U, Zbinden R, Bischoff G, Arlettaz R, Waldvogel K, Nadal D, Ruef C. Three consecutive outbreaks of Serratia marcescens in a neonatal intensive care unit. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:767-73; PMID:11830800; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339046

10. Berthelot P, Grattard F, Amerger C, Frery MC, Lucht F, Pozzetto B, Fargier P. Investigation of a nosocomial outbreak due to Serratia marcescens in a maternity hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20:233-6; PMID:10219872; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/501617

11. Laupland KB, Parkins MD, Gregson DB, Church DL, Ross T, Pitout JD. Population-based laboratory surveillance for Serratia species isolates in a large Canadian health region. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2008; 27:89-95; PMID:17960436; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-007-0400-7

12. Mahlen SD. Serratia infections: from military experiments to current practice. Clin Microbiol Rev 2011; 24:755-91; PMID:21976608; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00017-11

13. Grimont PA, Grimont F. Biotyping of Serratia marcescens and its use in epidemiological studies. J Clin Microbiol 1978; 8:73-83; PMID:353073

14. Grimont PA, Grimont F, Le Minor S, Davis B, Pigache F. Compatible results obtained from biotyping and serotyping in Serratia marcescens. J Clin Microbiol 1979; 10:425-32; PMID:393712

15. Farmer JJ 3rd, Davis BR, Hickman FW, Presley DB, Bodey GP, Negut M, Bobo RA. Detection of Serratia outbreaks in hospital. Lancet 1976; 2:455-9; PMID:73753; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(76)92539-3

16. Marre R, Hacker J, Braun V. The cell-bound hemolysin of Serratia marcescens contributes to uropathogenicity. Microb Pathog 1989; 7:153-6; PMID:2687613; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0882-4010(89)90034-X

17. Albers MJ, Mouton JW, Tibboel D. Colonization and infection by Serratia species in a paediatric surgical intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2001; 48:7-12; PMID:11358465; http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/jhin.2001.0939

18. Egebo K, Toft P, Jakobsen CJ. Contamination of central venous catheters. The skin insertion wound is a major source of contamination. J Hosp Infect 1996; 32:99-104; PMID:8666769; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6701(96)90051-1

19. Hejazi A, Falkiner FR. Serratia marcescens. J Med Microbiol 1997; 46:903-12; PMID:9368530; http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00222615-46-11-903

20. Kurz CL, Chauvet S, Andres E, Aurouze M, Vallet I, Michel GP, Uh M, Celli J, Filloux A, De Bentzmann S, Steinmetz I, Hoffmann JA, Finlay BB, Gorvel JP, Ferrandon D, Ewbank JJ. Virulence factors of the human opportunistic pathogen Serratia marcescens identified by in vivo screening. Embo J 2003; 22:1451-60; PMID:12660152; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg159

21. Shimuta K, Ohnishi M, Iyoda S, Gotoh N, Koizumi N, Watanabe H. The hemolytic and cytolytic activities of Serratia marcescens phospholipase A (PhlA) depend on lysophospholipid production by PhlA. BMC Microbiol 2009; 9:261; PMID:20003541; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-261

22. Murdoch SL, Trunk K, English G, Fritsch MJ, Pourkarimi E, Coulthurst SJ. The opportunistic pathogen Serratia marcescens utilizes type VI secretion to target bacterial competitors. J Bacteriol 2011; 193:6057-69; PMID:21890705; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.05671-11

23. Kim M, Ashida H, Ogawa M, Yoshikawa Y, Mimuro H, Sasakawa C. Bacterial interactions with the host epithelium. Cell Host Microbe 2010; 8:20-35; PMID:20638639; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2010.06.006

24. Jung HC, Eckmann L, Yang SK, Panja A, Fierer J, Morzycka-Wroblewska E, Kagnoff MF. A distinct array of proinflammatory cytokines is expressed in human colon epithelial cells in response to bacterial invasion. J Clin Invest 1995; 95:55-65; PMID:7814646; http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI117676

25. Sansonetti PJ, Arondel J, Huerre M, Harada A, Matsushima K. Interleukin-8 controls bacterial transepithelial translocation at the cost of epithelial destruction in experimental shigellosis. Infect Immun 1999; 67:1471-80; PMID:10024597

26. McCormick BA, Colgan SP, Delp-Archer C, Miller SI, Madara JL. Salmonella typhimurium attachment to human intestinal epithelial monolayers: transcellular signalling to subepithelial neutrophils. J Cell Biol 1993; 123:895-907; PMID:8227148; http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.123.4.895

27. Strauman MC, Harper JM, Harrington SM, Boll EJ, Nataro JP. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli disrupts epithelial cell tight junctions. Infect Immun 2010; 78:4958-64; PMID:20823198; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00580-10

28. Nataro JP, Hicks S, Phillips AD, Vial PA, Sears CL. T84 cells in culture as a model for enteroaggregative Escherichia coli pathogenesis. Infect Immun 1996; 64:4761-8; PMID:8890237

29. Nataro JP, Steiner T, Guerrant RL. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli. Emerg Infect Dis 1998; 4:251-61; PMID:9621195; http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0402.980212

30. Edwards LA, Bajaj-Elliott M, Klein NJ, Murch SH, Phillips AD. Bacterial-epithelial contact is a key determinant of host innate immune responses to enteropathogenic and enteroaggregative Escherichia coli. PLoS One 2011; 6:e27030; PMID:22046438; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027030

31. Elsinghorst EA. Measurement of invasion by gentamicin resistance. Methods Enzymol 1994; 236:405-20; PMID:7968625; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(94)36030-8

32. Hertle R, Hilger M, Weingardt-Kocher S, Walev I. Cytotoxic action of Serratia marcescens hemolysin on human epithelial cells. Infect Immun 1999; 67:817-25; PMID:9916096

33. Hertle R, Schwarz H. Serratia marcescens internalization and replication in human bladder epithelial cells. BMC Infect Dis 2004; 4:16; PMID:15189566; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-4-16

34. Guttman JA, Finlay BB. Tight junctions as targets of infectious agents. Biochim Biophys Acta 2009; 1788:832-41; PMID:19059200; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2008.10.028

35. Nazli A, Chan O, Dobson-Belaire WN, Ouellet M, Tremblay MJ, Gray-Owen SD, Arsenault AL, Kaushic C. Exposure to HIV-1 directly impairs mucosal epithelial barrier integrity allowing microbial translocation. PLoS Pathog 2010; 6:e1000852; PMID:20386714; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000852

36. Perdomo JJ, Gounon P, Sansonetti PJ. Polymorphonuclear leukocyte transmigration promotes invasion of colonic epithelial monolayer by Shigella flexneri. J Clin Invest 1994; 93:633-43; PMID:7906696; http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI117015

37. Waterhouse CC, Joseph RR, Winsor GL, Lacombe TA, Stadnyk AW. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 production by intestinal epithelial cells in vitro: a role for p38 in epithelial chemokine expression. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2001; 21:223-30; PMID:11359653; http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/107999001750169853

38. Wood GE, Dutro SM, Totten PA. Target cell range of Haemophilus ducreyi hemolysin and its involvement in invasion of human epithelial cells. Infect Immun 1999; 67:3740-9; PMID:10417132

39. Hoffmann KM, Deutschmann A, Weitzer C, Joainig M, Zechner E, Hogenauer C, Hauer AC. Antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis caused by cytotoxin-producing Klebsiella oxytoca. Pediatrics 2010; 125:e960-3; PMID:20194278; http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-1751

40. Joainig MM, Gorkiewicz G, Leitner E, Weberhofer P, Zollner-Schwetz I, Lippe I, Feierl G, Krause R, Hinterleitner T, Zechner EL, Hogenauer C. Cytotoxic effects of Klebsiella oxytoca strains isolated from patients with antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis or other diseases caused by infections and from healthy subjects. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48:817-24; PMID:20053860; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01741-09

41. McCormick BA, Miller SI, Carnes D, Madara JL. Transepithelial signaling to neutrophils by salmonellae: a novel virulence mechanism for gastroenteritis. Infect Immun 1995; 63:2302-9; PMID:7768613

42. Sakaguchi T, Kohler H, Gu X, McCormick BA, Reinecker HC. Shigella flexneri regulates tight junction-associated proteins in human intestinal epithelial cells. Cell Microbiol 2002; 4:367-81; PMID:12067320; http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-5822.2002.00197.x

43. McCormick BA, Siber AM, Maurelli AT. Requirement of the Shigella flexneri virulence plasmid in the ability to induce trafficking of neutrophils across polarized monolayers of the intestinal epithelium. Infect Immun 1998; 66:4237-43; PMID:9712773

44. Hume EB, Willcox MD, Sweeney DF, Holden BA. An examination of the clonal variants of Serratia

marcescens that infect the eye during contact lens wear. J Med Microbiol 1996; 45:127-32; PMID:8683548; http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00222615-45-2-127

45. Rius N, Sole M, Francia A, Loren JG. Buffering capacity of pigmented and nonpigmented strains of serratia marcescens. Appl Environ Microbiol 1994; 60:2152-4; PMID:16349300

46. Nataro JP, Baldini MM, Kaper JB, Black RE, Bravo N, Levine MM. Detection of an adherence factor of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli with a DNA probe. J Infect Dis 1985; 152:560-5; PMID:2863319; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/152.3.560

47. Levine MM, Bergquist EJ, Nalin DR, Waterman DH, Hornick RB, Young CR, Sotman S. Escherichia coli strains that cause diarrhoea but do not produce heat-labile or heat-stable enterotoxins and are non-invasive. Lancet 1978; 1:1119-22; PMID:77415; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(78)90299-4