

RESEARCH

Open Access

Pyrethroid susceptibility of malaria vectors in four Districts of western Kenya

Eric Ochomo^{1,2*}, Nabie M Bayoh², Luna Kamau³, Francis Atieli⁴, John Vulule⁴, Collins Ouma¹, Maurice Ombok², Kiambo Njagi⁵, David Soti⁵, Evan Mathenge⁶, Lawrence Muthami⁷, Teresa Kinyari⁸, Krishanthi Subramaniam⁹, Immo Kleinschmidt¹⁰, Martin James Donnelly⁹ and Charles Mbogo^{11,12}

Abstract

Background: Increasing pyrethroid resistance in malaria vectors has been reported in western Kenya where long lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) are the mainstays of vector control. To ensure the sustainability of insecticide-based malaria vector control, monitoring programs need to be implemented. This study was designed to investigate the extent and distribution of pyrethroid resistance in 4 Districts of western Kenya (Nyando, Rachuonyo, Bondo and Teso). All four Districts have received LLINs while Nyando and Rachuonyo Districts have had IRS campaigns for 3–5 years using pyrethroids. This study is part of a programme aimed at determining the impact of insecticide resistance on malaria epidemiology.

Methods: Three day old adult mosquitoes from larval samples collected in the field, were used for bioassays using the WHO tube bioassay, and mortality recorded 24 hours post exposure. Resistance level was assigned based on the 2013 WHO guidelines where populations with <90% mortality were considered resistant. Once exposed, samples were identified to species using PCR.

Results: *An. arabiensis* comprised at least 94% of all *An. gambiae* s.l. in Bondo, Rachuonyo and Nyando. Teso was a marked contrast case with 77% of all samples being *An. gambiae* s.s. Mortality to insecticides varied widely between clusters even in one District with mortality to deltamethrin ranging from 45-100%, while to permethrin the range was 30-100%. Mortality to deltamethrin in Teso District was < 90% in 4 of 6 clusters tested in *An. arabiensis* and <90% in *An. gambiae* s.s. in 5 of 6 clusters tested. To permethrin, mortality ranged between 5.9-95%, with <90% mortality in 9 of 13 and 8 of 13 in *An. arabiensis* and *An. gambiae* s.s. respectively. Cluster specific mortality of *An. arabiensis* between permethrin and deltamethrin were not correlated ($Z = 2.9505$, $P = 0.2483$).

Conclusion: High levels of pyrethroid resistance were observed in western Kenya. This resistance does not seem to be associated with either species or location. Insecticide resistance can vary within small geographical areas and such heterogeneity may make it possible to evaluate the impact of resistance on malaria and mosquito parameters within similar eco-epidemiological zones.

Keywords: Mortality, Insecticide resistance, Pyrethroids, IRS

* Correspondence: eocho@kemricdc.org

¹School of Public Health and Community Development, Maseno University, Maseno, Kenya

²KEMRI/CDC Research and Public Health Collaboration, PO Box 1578, Kisumu 40100, Kenya

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Vector control remains central to the fight against malaria, with ITNs being a central component of the WHO global strategy [1]. The use of ITNs has been reported to contribute to a reduction in various entomologic [2,3] and epidemiologic indices [4-6] and, most importantly a reduction in morbidity and mortality in adults and children under the age of five [7-9]. Thus, ITN use has been a major contributor to the declines in malaria endemicity in Africa. The recent WHO position statement on IRS has brought an important change in the landscape of malaria control in Africa. The use of IRS has increased almost 6 fold since 2001 [10] and has stimulated a renewed interest in malaria prevention with an emphasis on vector control with success seen in several parts of sub-Saharan Africa [6,11]. However, there has been an upsurge of insecticide resistance in different parts of the world [12-17] resulting in fears that the effectiveness of these control measures may be compromised. Data from different parts of Africa seem to suggest that insecticide resistance is as a result of selection pressure brought about by the use of insecticides in agriculture; for example in West African populations of *Anopheles gambiae* from the Cote d' Ivoire and Burkina Faso resistance is thought to have been selected for by pyrethroids used in cotton farming [18-21]. In western Kenya, a reduction in susceptibility to pyrethroid insecticides was reported after one year of a large-scale permethrin impregnated bednet programme [5,22] and has since been reported in multiple sites [23,24].

Data from the Kenya Medical Research Institute/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KEMRI/CDC) Health demographic surveillance system (HDSS) suggest that malaria prevalence in western Kenya has declined from 60% in 2003 to 26% in 2008 followed by a rise to 40% in 2009. This was speculated to be possibly due to stock-outs of essential antimalarial drugs during a time of increased malaria transmission and disruption of services during civil unrest [25]. Although a different study speculated that reduced efficacy of ITNs, insecticide resistance in local vector populations and lack of proper ITN use may have contributed to the reduced malaria prevalence [26].

The Kenyan National Malaria Strategy has the vision of making Kenya malaria free by 2017 [27,28]. The current Kenyan national strategy for malaria control involves prompt diagnosis and treatment of suspected cases of uncomplicated malaria and vector control. The National Malaria Control Program advocates the use of ITNs in malaria endemic areas and IRS in endemic and epidemic prone areas. The insecticides of choice in both strategies are synthetic pyrethroids. This study is BMGF-funded and coordinated by the WHO and is part of a multi-national programme currently ongoing in 5 countries;

Benin, Cameroon, Sudan, India and Kenya to assess the impact of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors on the effectiveness of vector control interventions in a range of transmission settings. This article details the results of an insecticide resistance survey carried out in 80 clusters in 4 Districts in Kenya. The main objective of the survey was to determine the extent and distribution of pyrethroid resistance in local malaria vectors in western Kenya.

Methods

Study sites

This study was conducted in 4 malaria endemic Districts (Bondo, Rachuonyo, Nyando and Teso) in western Kenya. There were 2 distinct vector control interventions implemented in the Districts: Rachuonyo and Nyando: IRS combined with ITNs; Bondo and Teso: ITNs only (Figures 1 and 2). In each District, 20 sub-locations (clusters) were randomly selected for baseline resistance determination. In Kenya, sub-locations are the smallest administrative units and are composed of multiple households. Each sub-location can have as many as 10–30 villages each having about 100 households. Sample collections were conducted between July and September 2011.

Bondo District is located in Siaya County. The District has a population of 309,190 [29] and has recently been sub-divided into, Bondo and Rarieda Districts. For this study, Bondo refers to the larger, undivided District. The District borders Siaya to the North, Gem District to the East and Lake Victoria to the South. The altitude of the District rises from 1,140 m in the eastern parts to 1,400 m above sea level in the west. The Nzoia and Yala rivers traverse the District and enter Lake Victoria through the Yala Swamp. The District experiences bimodal rainfall; the relief and altitude influencing its distribution and amount. The District is drier in the western part towards Bondo District and is wetter towards the higher altitudes in the eastern part. In the highlands, the rainfall ranges between 800 mm and 2000 mm. The lower areas receive rainfall ranging from 800 and 1600 mm. The short rains occur between August and November. The mean minimum and maximum temperatures are 15°C and 30°C, respectively. Humidity is relatively high with mean evaporation being between 1800 mm to 2000 mm per annum. Malaria is a major problem in this District with a prevalence (children under 5 years) of about 30%. Bondo District has had long term use of bednets with net distribution having started in the early 2000s in some parts of the District. The early intervention with the bednets is associated with a drastic decline in *An. funestus* s.l. and a shift from a largely *An. gambiae* s.s. population to a largely *An. arabiensis* population [30], however, *An. funestus* s.l. have recently re-emerged in the District [31].

Rachuonyo District is in Homa Bay County and has a total population of 400,802 [29]. The District has recently




Figure 1 Map of Kenya showing the study Districts (right) and map of the study Districts with clusters highlight in orange. The red crosses represent health facilities. The pie charts indicate susceptibility status of mosquito populations to deltamethrin in the study clusters. The black charts indicating the resistance status of *An. arabiensis* while the red charts indicate resistance status of *An. gambiae* s.s.

been split into two, North and South Rachuonyo Districts. This study was conducted only in North Rachuonyo District. The District borders Lake Victoria to the North, Nyando District to the North East, Kisii District to the South and Homabay District to the West. The altitude of the District is around 1300 m above sea level with an annual rainfall around 1700 mm. The temperature ranges between 15 and 30°C. This District is also endemic for malaria with a prevalence of 26% in children under 5 years and has had rollout of bednets for over a decade and IRS from 2007 with coverage of >80% of the households for ITNs and >90% for IRS. Little has

been published on the malaria vectors within this District but *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* are the most abundant (Bayoh et al. unpublished).

Nyando District is in Kisumu county and has a population of 389,351 [29]. The District is located adjacent to the Gulf of Winam on the northeast shore Lake Victoria. It is bordered to the North by Nandi District, to the east by Kericho District, to the South by Rachuonyo and Kisumu District to the West. There is a marked variation in altitude with the areas along the Nyando plateau having an altitude as low as 1100 m above sea level and is very prone to flooding, with other areas as




Figure 2 The pie charts indicate susceptibility status of mosquito populations to permethrin in the study clusters. The red charts indicate the resistance status of *An. arabiensis*, while the black charts indicate resistance status of *An. gambiae* s.s.

high as 1540 m above sea level. Rice farming is a major economic activity and provides habitats conducive for the breeding of *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* mosquitoes, which drive transmission. This District has had long term intervention with bednets, the last mass campaign being in 2011 and more recently IRS in the same year. Malaria prevalence in this District is about 20% while bed-net coverage defined as one bednet per two people is more than 85%.

Teso District is in Busia County and has a population of 252,884 [29]. The District has been split into Teso North and Teso South Districts though the study was conducted in both. It borders Busia District to the South West, Bumula District to the East, and Tororo District in

Uganda to the North. The average altitude of the District is 1208 m above sea level. Trade is a major economic activity alongside subsistence farming. The prevalence of malaria in children under 5 years in this District is 32%. Bednets have been distributed in this District with the aim of universal coverage (2 people per bednet) and as such are the main intervention against malaria vectors. *An. gambiae* s.s. is the major vector of malaria but *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* s.l. are also present but in lower proportions.

Sample collection

Larval sampling and rearing

Anopheles larvae were sampled from small, temporary and open habitats including ponds, potholes, tire tracks,

rice fields, drainage channels and mud paths using the standard dipping method. Individual larvae were picked from the dippers using wide-mouthed plastic pipettes and placed in plastic tins for transportation to the KEMRI Centre for Global Health Research laboratories for rearing.

In the laboratory, the larvae were poured into larger trays and debris removed from the water. Larval samples from each cluster were pooled together and sorted according to instar stage and morphology. Similar instar stages were transferred to the same larval tray with all the trays being labelled with collection date and site. Larvae were reared on a mixture of fish food and brewer's yeast provided daily and separation of instar stages was continued every 2 days. Upon pupation, pupae were collected and placed in pupa cups inside a labelled cage for emergence. Each day emerged adults were removed and placed in a new cage with sample identity and date of emergence. These were provided with 5% sugar solution as they awaited assay [24].

Bioassays

Three-day-old adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes that had emerged from the larvae were exposed to insecticides using WHO impregnated papers for 1 h at standard concentrations using the WHO tube bioassay [32]. The mosquitoes were then maintained at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and $80 \pm 5\%$ RH and mortality was scored at 24 hours post-exposure using the new WHO guidelines [33]. Mosquitoes were exposed to permethrin (0.75%) and deltamethrin (0.05%) and mortality scored according to WHO 2013 guidelines. Only female *Anopheles* mosquitoes were included in the assays and analyses. Once mortality was scored, live mosquitoes were knocked down by freezing then all mosquitoes placed in individual tubes and frozen at -20°C for molecular analysis.

Species identification

All of the samples exposed above were identified to species. Whole samples were used for DNA extraction using an ethanol precipitation method [34]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to distinguish between the two sibling species of the *An. gambiae* sl. species complex, which have previously been observed in western Kenya, *An. gambiae* s.s. (molecular S-form) and *An. arabiensis* [35].

Data analysis

Bioassay data was scored according to the guidelines by WHO, where populations with mortality $>98\%$ were regarded as susceptible, populations with 90 - 98% mortality were suspected to be resistant pending further tests while populations with $<90\%$ mortality were considered resistant. Chi-square analysis was used to compare mortalities between *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis* from

clusters in Teso and Wilcoxon signed-rank test used to check for consistently higher mortalities in any one species. Lastly, the Kendall Tau test was used to test for the correlation between mortalities to permethrin and deltamethrin. All confidence intervals were calculated using the VassarStats confidence interval calculator (<http://vassarstats.net/>) [36,37].

Results

Species distribution

Apart from Teso, the most abundant vector species in all the Districts was *An. arabiensis*. This species comprised at least 94% of all *An. gambiae* s.l. in Bondo, Rachuonyo and Nyando. Teso was a marked contrast case with 77% of all samples being *An. gambiae* s.s. (Table 1).

Phenotypic assays

Mortality to insecticides varied widely between clusters even in one District with mortality to deltamethrin ranging from 45 to 100%, while permethrin ranged from 30 to 100% (Figures 1 and 2). The datasets used in generating the pie charts are in Additional files 1 and 2. When tested against permethrin, mosquito populations from 19 of 20 clusters in Bondo, 2 of 7 clusters in Nyando, 11 of 13 clusters in Rachuonyo and 14 of 15 clusters in Teso had mortalities $<90\%$. Against deltamethrin, 16 of 18 clusters in Bondo, 10 of 14 clusters in Rachuonyo, 5 of 18 clusters in Nyando and 6 of 6 clusters in Teso we had mortalities $<90\%$ which is the WHO threshold for resistance [33]. Susceptibility data for Bondo, Rachuonyo and Nyando could not be split down to the two species since *An. gambiae* s.s. population was less than 6% in all the clusters in these sites. Overall, Teso had a higher proportion of *An. gambiae* s.s. even though the species distribution was not the same in all clusters. In two clusters in South Teso: Akiriamasi and Akiriamasit, more *An. arabiensis* were observed compared to *An. gambiae* s.s. with higher resistance observed in *An. gambiae* s.s. ($\chi^2 = 7.89$, $P = 0.005$; $\chi^2 = 0.1$, $P = 0.75$ respectively) with the rest of the clusters having more *An. gambiae* s.s. In Teso District, lower susceptibility to permethrin was observed in *An. arabiensis* compared to *An. gambiae* s.s. in Odioi and Kaliwa (Table 2). However, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test failed to show consistently higher/lower resistance in any one vector compared to the other ($Z = 0.1$, $P = 0.9203$). Correlation analysis using the Kendall Tau test did not show any correlation in cluster specific mortality of *An. arabiensis* between permethrin and deltamethrin ($Z = 2.9505$, $P = 0.2483$).

Discussion and conclusions

This study identified species specific phenotypic resistance of *An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis* populations from 65 out of 80 clusters from 4 Districts in western Kenya. The

Table 1 Total number of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. collected in the four study Districts

District.id	District	Total no of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.l.	Total no of <i>An. arabiensis</i>	Total no of <i>An. gambiae</i> s.s.	Proportions of <i>An. arabiensis</i>	95% CIs around proportion of <i>Anopheles arabiensis</i>
1	Bondo	3372	3159	213	93.68	92.8-94.5
2	Rachuonyo	1487	1451	36	97.58	96.7-98.3
3	Teso	1332	306	1026	22.97	20.8-25.3
4	Nyando	1147	1101	46	95.99	94.7-97.0

A breakdown of the species composition for each District. The proportions of *An. arabiensis* and the 95% Confidence intervals around the proportions are also shown.

four Districts in which this study was conducted are currently sites of widespread vector control using insecticide-based tools, ITNs and IRS. Resistance was widespread and heterogeneous with mosquito populations from 19 of 20 clusters in Bondo, 2 of 7 clusters in Nyando, 11 of 13 clusters in Rachuonyo and 14 of 15 clusters in Teso showing resistance to permethrin while 16 of 18 clusters in Bondo, 10 of 14 clusters in Rachuonyo, 5 of 18 clusters in Nyando and 6 of 6 clusters in Teso had resistance to deltamethrin. There was no correlation in cluster specific mortality of *An. arabiensis* between permethrin and deltamethrin ($Z = 2.9505$, $P = 0.2483$) indicating a difference in insecticidal efficacy between trans and alpha-cyano pyrethroids. For the small number of clusters in Teso where sufficient *An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis* were obtained it was possible to determine 7 of the 17 tests that reached a

conventional significance threshold ($p < 0.05$). 4 tests showed higher resistance in *An. arabiensis* and three in *An. gambiae*. Suggesting no consistent marked difference in resistance between species, which was confirmed by the signed ranked test.

Malaria vector control has heavily relied on the use of pyrethroids due to their efficacy and relatively low toxicity to non-target organisms [38,39]. The restricted and rather narrow range of insecticides for use in vector control necessitates strict monitoring and management of insecticide resistance within the control program to ensure sustenance of control [40-42]. Resistance to pyrethroids has emerged and is spreading at an alarming rate [15,43,44]. In this light, the Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management (GPIRM) document released by WHO in 2012 impresses upon the need to setup insecticide

Table 2 Results of χ^2 analysis of the differences in the phenotypic resistance of *An. gambiae* s.s. versus *An. arabiensis* when exposed to pyrethroids in Teso District

District	Cluster	Insecticide	% Mortality (Sample size)		χ^2	Pvalue
			<i>An. gambiae</i> s.s.	<i>An. arabiensis</i>		
Teso	Akiriamasi	Permethrin	50(8)	95(20)	7.89	0.005
Teso	Akiriamasit	Permethrin	85.7(7)	89.7(39)	0.1	0.75
Teso	Kaliwa	Permethrin	51.4(37)	7.1(14)	8.32	0.004
Teso	Kokare	Deltamethrin	85.4(48)	95.4(65)	3.4	0.07
Teso	Kokare	Permethrin	34.6(26)	71(31)	7.53	0.006
Teso	Koteko	Permethrin	82.4(51)	90.5(21)	0.76	0.21
Teso	Odioi	Deltamethrin	47.4(19)	83.3(6)	1.38	0.24
Teso	Odioi	Permethrin	35.1(97)	5.9(17)	5.78	0.02
Teso	Rwatama	Permethrin	83.5(79)	50(16)	4.62	0.03
Teso	Kengatunyi	Deltamethrin	28(43)	100(5)	1.86	0.22
Teso	Kaliwa	Deltamethrin	50(2)	44(18)	0.02	0.88
Teso	Kolanya	Deltamethrin	100(2)	78(72)	0.57	0.45
Teso	Rwatama	Deltamethrin	33(3)	81(77)	3.84	0.05
Teso	Adanya	Permethrin	100(6)	36(14)	7.01	0.008
Teso	Apatit	Permethrin	100(17)	0(0)	-	-
Teso	Apokor	Permethrin	96(47)	100(1)	0.04	0.83
Teso	Kabanyo	Permethrin	100(17)	100(2)		
Teso	Katelepai	Permethrin	50(42)	0(1)	0.98	0.32
Teso	Kengatunyi	Permethrin	86(79)	100(4)	0.64	0.42

resistance monitoring programs where none exists and to scale-up monitoring efforts in areas that have implemented monitoring programs [42,45,46]. In Kenya, several studies have already documented insecticide resistance in multiple sites [5,23,24,47].

Nyando and Rachuonyo had, at the time of sample collection, yearly IRS programs in addition to the distribution of ITNs. Despite sustained vector control efforts employing pyrethroids since the early 2000s, vectors in Nyando demonstrated widespread susceptibility with only 5 of the 18 clusters tested for deltamethrin and 2 of the 7 clusters tested for permethrin having <90% mortality (WHO threshold for resistance) to the pyrethroids. The observation of low resistance despite long term insecticide use for public health had been made in previous studies in Asembo in Western Kenya and more previously in several sites in Tanzania [48,49]. Curiously, vectors in Teso and Bondo Districts, where only ITNs are the main malaria intervention, had the highest levels of insecticide resistance, suggesting that additional sources, may be contributing to the selection pressure for insecticide resistance. A study in Eastern Cote d'Ivoire actually observed pyrethroid resistance prior to the implementation of ITNs [50].

Whereas most resistance studies present susceptibility results representative of large administrative areas, usually Districts, this study presents cluster specific susceptibility data. Data from previous studies has shown sharply contrasting results between smaller sites within the administrative Districts. A study of *An. culicifacies* susceptibility to DDT in Baluchestan in Iran in 1972, revealed mortality between 16.4 and 42% in 5 villages within the same District [51]. In yet another study in two villages in Burkina Faso, Valle de Kou 5 and Valle de Kou 7 reported different mortalities of 73 and 100% to permethrin respectively [18]. In contrast, a study conducted in two villages in Apac District in Uganda, showed consistently similar mortality to permethrin over 3 sampling time points in ADA and ADB villages (99 and 98% mortality in January 2005, 93 and 92% mortality in September 2006 for *An. funestus* and 81 and 80% mortality in September 2006 in *An. gambiae* s.s) [52]. The range of mortality observed within and between Districts in this study is thus not without precedent. What remains to be seen is whether there is temporal stability in the estimates of mortality, which may allow us to determine the main drivers of the heterogeneity.

The distribution of the *An. gambiae* species in Teso further depicts the need for cluster-specific insecticide resistance monitoring. Clusters within the same District have varying distributions of *An. arabiensis* and *An. gambiae* and consequently varying insecticide resistance status. Given the differences in resting and feeding behaviour of the two sibling species, different transmission dynamics are expected to be observed in these

clusters [53,54]. *An. arabiensis* has different vectorial behaviour compared to *An. gambiae* s.s., which is known to have stable behaviour and is more endophagic and endophilic, while *An. arabiensis* may feed both indoors and outdoors but seems to be more exophagic and exophilic in the presence of pyrethroid resistance. The dominance reversal observed in western Kenya happened over many years, and since resistance is a fairly recent phenomenon, with time the impact of resistance on vector population structure may be observed.

Previous resistance work in Western Kenya has shown higher resistance in sites west, closer to the border with neighbouring Uganda where high resistance to pyrethroids has previously been detected [13,23,24,52]. Gene flow of resistance genes into bordering Districts may thus be a reason for the observed resistance phenotypes but this needs further genetic studies. Resistance has previously been linked to insecticide use in agriculture in several parts of the continent; Uganda [52,55], Burkina Faso [18] and other sites in sub-Saharan Africa [56-58]. In addition, resistance may be attributed to an increase in possession of ITNs and the implementation of IRS programs within the study Districts. For example, permethrin impregnated ITNs have been previously linked to a reduced susceptibility in *A. gambiae* s.s. though this elevation declined over time [5,49].

This baseline study provides a background for the study of insecticide resistance mechanisms in mosquito populations in the different clusters to enable effective management of insecticide resistance and at the same time facilitate continued vector control efforts [48,59]. Our study results show that insecticide resistance to pyrethroids is emerging within four Districts in Western Kenya. Apart from a call for more site-specific insecticide resistance monitoring, this study also emphasizes the need for further investigation into factors that can influence selection pressure in insecticide resistance in malaria vectors. Furthermore, monitoring on insecticide and pesticide use for agriculture should also be enhanced and a shift made for use of non-pyrethroid insecticides for IRS to preserve the pyrethroid class of insecticides for ITNs.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Susceptibility status of mosquito populations to deltamethrin in the study clusters. This data was used to populate Figure S1.

Additional file 2: Susceptibility status of mosquito populations to permethrin in the study clusters. This data was used to populate Figure S2.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

NMB, LK, FA, JV, MO, KN, DS, EM, LM, TK, MJD, IK and CM designed and developed the study. EO, NMB, LK, FA, JV, CO, KS, MJD and CM contributed to development of the protocol and data analysis. EO and KS performed the laboratory analysis of the samples. All authors took part in manuscript preparation, read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of project staff, Brigid Kemei, Judith Wandera, Richard Amoto, Edward Esalimba, Duncan Ayata and Gideon Nyansikera, the technical support of the Malaria Branch, KEMRI/CDC, KEMRI, Centre for Global Health Research and Centre for Biotechnology, Research and Development, KEMRI and Malaria Control Unit staff. The research is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation through WHO (Award #54497 awarded to Dr. Charles Mbogo). We are grateful to the Director, KEMRI for the permission to publish this data.

Author details

¹School of Public Health and Community Development, Maseno University, Maseno, Kenya. ²KEMRI/CDC Research and Public Health Collaboration, PO Box 1578, Kisumu 40100, Kenya. ³KEMRI –Centre for Biotechnology and Research Development, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁴KEMRI- Centre for Global Health Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, PO Box 1578 Kisumu 40100, Kenya. ⁵Division of Malaria Control (DOMC), Ministry of Health, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁶KEMRI-Eastern and Southern Africa Centre of International Parasite Control, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁷KEMRI- Centre for Public Health Research, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁸Department of Medical Physiology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁹Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK. ¹⁰Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ¹¹Kenya Medical Research Institute, Centre for Geographic Medicine Research-Coast, Kilifi, Kenya. ¹²Malaria Public Health Department, KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Program, Nairobi, Kenya.

Received: 31 March 2014 Accepted: 29 June 2014

Published: 4 July 2014

References

1. WHO: *Global Malaria Program Insecticide-Treated Mosquito Nets: A WHO Position Statement*. Geneva: WHO; 2005. www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/itnspospaperfinal.pdf.
2. Mbogo CNM, Baya NM, Ofula AVO, Githure JI, Snow RW: **The impact of permethrin-impregnated bednets on malaria vectors of the Kenyan coast**. *Med Vet Entomol* 1996, **10**:251–259.
3. Lindblade KA, Gimnig JE, Kamau L, Hawley WA, Odhiambo F, Olang G, Kuile FOT, Vulule JM, Slutsker L: **Impact of sustained use of insecticide-treated bednets on malaria vector species distribution and culicine mosquitoes**. *J Med Entomol* 2006, **43**(2):428–432.
4. Gimnig J, Vulule J, Lo T, Kamau L, Kolczak M, Phillips-Howard P, Mathenge E, Kuile FT, Nahlen B, Hightower A: **Impact of permethrin - treated bed nets on entomologic indices in an area of intense year around malaria transmission**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003, **68**:16–22.
5. Vulule J, Beach R, Atieli F, Roberts J, Mount D, Mwangi R: **Reduced susceptibility of *Anopheles gambiae* to permethrin associated with the use of permethrin-impregnated bednets and curtains in Kenya**. *Med Vet Entomol* 1994, **8**:71–75.
6. O'Meara WP, Mangeni JN, Steketee R, Greenwood B: **Changes in the burden of malaria in sub-Saharan Africa**. *lan infect dis* 2010, **10**:545–555.
7. Lengeler C: **Insecticide-treated bed nets and curtains for preventing malaria**. In *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2004.
8. Nevill CG, Some ES, Mungala VO, Mutemi W, New L, Marsh K, Lengeler C, Snow RW: **Insecticide-treated bednets reduce mortality and severe morbidity from malaria among children on the Kenyan coast**. *Trop Med Int H* 1996, **1**:139–146.
9. Phillips-Howard PA, Ter Kuile FO, Nahlen BL, Alaii JA, Gimnig JE, Kolczak MS, Terlouw DJ, Kariuki SK, Shi YP, Kachur SP, Hightower AW, Vulule JM, Hawley WA: **Efficacy of permethrin-treated bed nets in the prevention of mortality in young children in an area of high perennial malaria transmission in western Kenya**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003, **68**:23–29.
10. WHO: *World Malaria Report*. Geneva: World health Organization; 2009:27–40.
11. Mashauri FM, Kinung'hi SM, Kaatano GM, Magesa SM, Kishamawe C, Mwangi JR, Nnko SE, Malima RC, Mero CN, Mboera LE: **Impact of indoor residual spraying of lambda-cyhalothrin on malaria prevalence and anemia in an epidemic-prone district of Muleba, north-western Tanzania**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2013, **88**(5):841–849.
12. Kristan M, Fleischmann H, Della-Torre A, Stich A, Curtis CF: **Pyrethroid resistance /susceptibility and differential rural/urban distribution of *Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. gambiae* s.s. malaria vectors in Nigeria and Ghana**. *Med Vet Entomol* 2003, **17**:326–332.
13. Ramphul U, Boase T, Bass C, Okedi LM, Donnelly MJ, Müller P: **Insecticide resistance and its association with target-site mutations in natural populations of *Anopheles gambiae* from eastern Uganda**. *Trans Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2009, **103**:1121–1126.
14. Ranson H, Abdallah H, Badolo A, Guelbeogo WM, Kerah-Hinzoumbé C, Yangalbé-Kalnoné E, Sagnon NF, Simard F, Coetzee M: **Insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae*: data from the first year of a multi-country study highlight the extent of the problem**. *Mal J* 2009, **8**:299.
15. Ranson H, N'Guessan R, Lines J, Moiroux N, Nkuni Z, Corbel V: **Pyrethroid resistance in African Anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control?** *Tre Parasit* 2011, **27**(2):91–98.
16. Abdalla H, Wilding CS, Nardini L, Pignatelli P, Koekemoer LL, Ranson H, Coetzee M: **Insecticide resistance in *Anopheles arabiensis* in Sudan: temporal trends and underlying mechanisms**. *Parasit Vectors* 2014, **7**(1):213.
17. Jones CM, Haji KA, Khatib BO, Bagi J, Mcha J, Devine GJ, Daley M, Kabula B, Ali AS, Majambere S, Ranson H: **The dynamics of pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles arabiensis* from Zanzibar and an assessment of the underlying genetic basis**. *Parasit Vectors* 2013, **6**:343.
18. Diabate A, Baldet T, Chandre F, Akoobeto M, Guiguemdes TR, Darriet F, Brengues C, Guillet P, Hemingway J, Small GJ, Hougard JM: **The role of agricultural use of insecticides in resistance to pyrethroids in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l in Burkina Faso**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002, **67**:617–622.
19. Dabire KR, Diabate A, Namountougou M, Toe KH, Ouari A, Kengne P, Bass C, Baldet T: **Distribution of pyrethroid and DDT resistance and the L1014F kdr mutation in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. from Burkina Faso (West Africa)**. *Trans Roy Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2009, **103**:1113–1120.
20. N'Guessan R, Corbel V, Akogbeto M, Rowland M: **Reduced efficacy of insecticide-treated nets and indoor residual spraying for malaria control in pyrethroid resistance area, Benin**. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007, **13**(2):199–206.
21. Constant EVA, Koudou BG, Jones CM, Weetman D, Ranson H: **Multiple-insecticide resistance in anopheles gambiae mosquitoes, southern Côte d'Ivoire**. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012, **18**(9):1508–1511.
22. Vulule JM, Beach RF, Atieli FK, McAllister JC, Brogdon WG, Roberts JM: **Elevated oxidase and esterase levels associated with permethrin tolerance in *Anopheles gambiae* from Kenyan villages using permethrin-impregnated nets**. *Med Vet Entomol* 1999, **13**:239–244.
23. Mathias D, Ochomo E, Atieli F, Ombok M, Bayoh N, Olang G, Muhia D, Kamau L, Vulule JM, Hamel MJ, Hawley WA, Edward D, Walker ED, Gimnig JE: **Spatial and temporal variation in the kdr allele L1014S in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. and phenotypic variability in susceptibility to insecticides in Western Kenya**. *Mal J* 2011, **10**:10.
24. Ochomo E, Bayoh MN, Brogdon WG, Brogdon JE, Gimnig JE, Ouma C, Vulule JM, Walker ED: **Pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. and *Anopheles arabiensis* in western Kenya: phenotypic, metabolic and target site characterizations of three populations**. *Med Vet Entomol* 2013, **27**:156–164.
25. Hamel MJ, Adazu K, Obor D, Sewe M, Vulule J, Williamson JM, Slutsker L, Feikin DR, Laserson KF: **A Reversal in Reductions of Child Mortality in Western Kenya, 2003–2009**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2011, **85**(4):597–605.
26. Zhou G, Afrane YA, Vardo-Zalik AM, Atieli H, Zhong D, Wamae P, Himeidan YE, Minakawa N, Githeko AK, Yan G: **Changing patterns of malaria epidemiology between 2002 and 2010 in Western Kenya: the fall and rise of malaria**. *PLoS ONE* 2011, **6**:e20318.
27. DOMC: *In 2007 Kenya MALARIA Indicator Survey*. Edited by Division of Malaria Control. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation; 2009:1–17.
28. DOMC: *2010 Kenya MALARIA Indicator Survey*. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation; 2011:21–22. 47–48.
29. KNBS: *In Population and Housing Census 2009*. Edited by Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Nairobi, Kenya: Government of Kenya; 2010.
30. Bayoh MN, Mathias DK, Odiere MR, Mutuku FM, Kamau L, Gimnig JE, Vulule JM, Hawley WA, Hamel MJ, Walker ED: ***Anopheles gambiae*: historical population decline associated with regional distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets in western Nyanza Province**. *Kenya Mal J* 2010, **9**:62.

31. McCann RS, Ochomo E, Bayoh MN, Vulule JM, Hamel MJ, Gimnig JE, Hawley WA, Walker ED: **Reemergence of anopheles funestus as a vector of plasmodium falciparum in western Kenya after long-term implementation of insecticide-treated Bed nets.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2014, **90**(4):597–604.
32. WHO: *Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors: bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticides on treated surfaces.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1998:5–15.
33. WHO: *Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
34. Collins FH, Mendez ME, Rasmussen MO, Mehaffey PC, Bensansky NJ, Finnerty V: **A Ribosomal RNA gene probe differentiates member species of the Anopheles gambiae complex.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1987, **1**:37–41.
35. Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH: **Identification of single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by polymerase chain reaction.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1993, **49**:520–529.
36. Newcombe RG: **Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods.** *Stat Med* 1998, **17**:857–872.
37. Wilson EB: **Probable inference, the Law of succession, and statistical inference.** *J Am Stat Assoc* 1927, **22**:209–212.
38. Zaim M, Aitio A, Nakashima N: **Safety of Pyrethroid treated mosquito nets.** *Med Vet Entomol* 2000, **14**:1–5.
39. Zaim M, Guillet P: **Alternative insecticides: an urgent need.** *Tre Parasit* 2002, **18**(4):161–163.
40. Chanda E, Hemingway J, Kleinschmidt I, Rehman AM, Ramdeen V, Phiri FN, Coetzer S, Mthembu D, Shinondo CJ, Chizema-Kawesha E, Kamuliwo M, Mukonka V, Baboo K, Coleman M: **Insecticide resistance and the future of malaria control in Zambia.** *PLoS ONE* 2011, **6**(9):e24336.
41. Kleinschmidt I, Schwabe C, Benavente L, Torrez M, Ridl FC, Segura JL, Ehmer P, Nchama GN: **Marked increase in child survival after four years of intensive malaria control.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2009, **80**(6):882–888.
42. WHO: In *Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management in Malaria Vectors.* Edited by WGM Programme. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
43. Corbel V, N'Guessan R, Brengues C, Chandre F, Djogbenou L, Martin T, Akogbeto M, Hougard JM, Rowland M: **Multiple insecticide resistance mechanisms in Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus from Benin, West Africa.** *Acta Trop* 2007, **101**:207–216.
44. Nauen R: **Insecticide resistance in disease vectors of public health importance.** *Pest Manag Sci* 2007, **63**(7):628–633.
45. IRAC: *Prevention and Management of Insecticide Resistance in Vectors of Public Health Importance*; 2010:43–59. <http://www.irac-online.org/>.
46. WHO: In *Malaria Vector Control and Personal Protection.* Edited by 936 Wtrs. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006.
47. Stump A, Atieli F, Vulule J, Besansky N: **Dynamics of the pyrethroid knockdown resistance allele in western Kenyan populations of Anopheles gambiae in response to insecticide-treated bed net trials.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2004, **70**:591–596.
48. Kabula B, Tungu P, Matowo J, Kitau J, Mweya C, Emidi B, Masue D, Sindato C, Malima R, Minja J, Msangi S, Njau R, Mosha F, Magesa S, Kisinza W: **Susceptibility status of malaria vectors to insecticides commonly used for malaria control in Tanzania.** *Trop Med Int H* 2012, **17**(6):742–750.
49. Vulule JM, Beach RF, Atieli FK, Mount DL, Roberts JM, Mwangi RW: **Long-term use of permethrin-impregnated nets does not increase Anopheles gambiae permethrin tolerance.** *Med Vet Entomol* 1996, **10**:71–79.
50. Koffi AA, Alou LP, Adja MA, Kone M, Chandre F, N'Guessan R: **Update on resistance status of Anopheles gambiae s.s. to conventional insecticides at a previous WHOPES field site, "Yaokoffikro", 6 years after the political crisis in Cote d'Ivoire.** *Parasit Vectors* 2012, **5**:68.
51. Manoucheri AV, Zaini A, Javadian E: **Resistance of Anopheles culicifacies Giles to DDT in Baluchestan Province, Southern Iran, 1974.** *Mosq News* 1975, **35**(3):314–316.
52. Verhaeghen K, Bortel WW, Roelants P, Okello PE, Talisuna A, Coosemans M: **Spatio-temporal patterns in kdr frequency in permethrin and DDT resistant Anopheles gambiae s.s. from Uganda.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2010, **82**:566–573.
53. Githeko AK: **Resting behaviour, ecology and genetics of malaria vectors in large scale agricultural areas of Western Kenya.** *Parasitologia* 1996, **38**:481–489.
54. Githeko AK, Service MW, Mbogo CNM, Atieli FK, Juma FO: **Origins of bloodmeals in indoor and outdoor resting malaria vectors in western Kenya.** *Acta Trop* 1994, **58**:307–316.
55. Brogdon WG, Barber AM: **Microplate assay of glutathione S-transferase activity for resistance detection in single-mosquito homogenates.** *Comp Biochem Physiol* 1990, **96B**:339–342.
56. Chouaibou M, Etang J, Brevault T, Nwane P, Hinzoumbe CK, Mimpfoundi R, Simard F: **Dynamics of insecticide resistance in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae s.l. from an area of extensive cotton cultivation in northern Cameroon.** *Trop Med Int H* 2008, **13**:476–486.
57. Lines JD: **Do agricultural insecticides select for insecticide resistance in mosquitoes? A look at the evidence.** *Parasit Today* 1988, **4**:S17–S20.
58. Müller P, Chouaibou M, Pignatelli P, Etang J, Walker ED, Donnelly MJ, Simard F: **Pyrethroid tolerance is associated with elevated expression of antioxidants and agricultural practice in Anopheles arabiensis sampled from an area of cotton fields in Northern Cameroon.** *Mol Ecol* 2008, **17**:1145–1155.
59. WHO: *Test Procedures for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring in Malaria Vector Mosquitoes.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013:6–17.

doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-310

Cite this article as: Ochomo et al.: Pyrethroid susceptibility of malaria vectors in four Districts of western Kenya. *Parasites & Vectors* 2014 7:310.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

